#### PATENT

Attorney Docket No. 57002

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: DAVID R. CHERITON

Group Art Unit: 2661

Examiner: RAO, SEEMA SRINIVAS

Application No. 09/981,125

Filed: October 16, 2001

For: Method And Apparatus For Ingress Port

Filtering for Packet Switching Systems

**RECEIVED** 

MAR 0 1 2002

**Technology Center 2600** 

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

#### INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98, the references listed on the enclosed Form PTO-1449 are submitted for consideration by the Examiner in the examination of the above-identified patent application. The full consideration of the references in their entirety by the Examiner is respectfully requested and encouraged. Also, it is respectfully requested that the references be entered into the record of the present application and that the Examiner place his or her initials in the appropriate area on the enclosed Form PTO-1449, thereby indicating the Examiner's consideration of each of the references.

A bona fide attempt is made to comply with §§ 1.97-98. The filing of this information disclosure statement should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made, nor is it to be construed to be an admission that the information cited in the statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in § 1.56(b). Additionally, the submission of this IDS is for the purpose of providing a complete record and is not a concession that the references are prior art to the invention claimed in the patent application. The right is expressly reserved to establish an invention date earlier than the above-identified filing date in order to remove any reference submitted herewith as prior art should it be deemed appropriate to do so. Furthermore, the submission of the references is not to be taken as a concession that any reference represents art that is relevant or analogous to the claimed invention. Accordingly, the right to argue that any reference is not properly within the scope of prior art relevant to an examination of the claims in the above-identified application is also expressly reserved.

In re DAVID R. CHERITON Serial No. 09/981,125

The Ir	Under than a date of (3) bef	§ 1.97(b): (1) within three months of the filing date of a national application other continued prosecution application under § 1.53(d); (2) within three months of the fentry of the national stage as set forth in § 1.491 in an international application; fore the mailing of a first Office action on the merits; or (4) before the mailing of a ffice action after the filing of a request for continued examination under § 1.114.
	mailin	§ 1.97(c): after (1), (2), or (3) above (i.e., after paragraph (b)) and before the g date of any of a final action under § 1.113, a notice of allowance under § 1.311, ction that otherwise closes prosecution in the application, and is accompanied by: the statement specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e):  Each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement; or  That no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement.
		§ 1.97(d): after the period specified in § 1.97(c) and before payment of the issue d is accompanied by:  the statement specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e):  Each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement; or  That no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement.  the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) (see "Fees" below).

In re DAVID R. CHERITON Serial No. 09/981,125

# **Copies Of The References**

Enclosed herewith are copies of the references listed on the enclosed Form PTO-1449. A concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in § 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent, publication, or other information listed that is not in the English language is included either separate from applicant's specification or incorporated therein. Furthermore, a copy of the translation of a non-English language reference is included herewith if a written English-language translation of a non-English-language document, or portion thereof, is within the possession, custody, or control of, or is readily available to any individual designated in § 1.56(c).
A copy of a foreign search report is enclosed herewith.
Per 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(d), copies of the references listed on the enclosed Form PTO-1449 are not provided as they were previously submitted to, or cited by, the Office in an earlier application, and (1) the earlier application is properly identified in the information disclosure statement and is relied on for an earlier effective filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120; and (2) the information disclosure statement submitted in the earlier application complies with paragraphs (a) through (c) of this 37 C.F.R. § 198. The details of the parent application(s) relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. § 120 in which copies of the references were previously furnished are set out below:

U.S. APPLI	Status (check one)			
U.S. APPLICATIONS	U.S. FILING DATE	PATENTED	PENDING	ABANDONED
1.				
2.				
3.				

## In re DAVID R. CHERITON Serial No. 09/981,125

Fees	The IDS Fee Attach		in the amount of \$180. 501430 in the amount of \$18	60.	
Autho	If any addition	_	es and Credit any Overpaymonnection with this communication		
X	Credit Account No. 501430 for any overpayment in connection with this communication				
Date:	February 21, 20	002 Respectfully s	submitted,		
		The Law Offi	ce of Kirk D. Williams		
		Customer 1 1234 S. O	Filliams, Esq., Reg. 42,229 Number 26327 GDEN ST , C0 80210-1713		

303-282-0151

303-778-0748 (facsimile)

FORM PTO-		PARTMENT OF		Application Number	09/981,125
MODIFIED	PAIEN	Γ AND TRADE	Filing Date	October 16, 2001	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE				First Named Inventor	David R. Cheriton
STA	TEMENT B	Y APPLIC	Group Art Unit	2661	
				Examiner Name	Rao, Seema Srinivas
Sheet	1	of	1	Attorney Docket No.	57002

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS					
EXAMINER'S INITIALS	Cite No.	Document Number	ISSUE or PUBL. DATE (MM-DD-YYYY)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	
	AA	US - 5495426	02-27-1996	Waclawsky et al.	
	AB	US - 5612897	03-18-1997	Rege	
	AC	US - 6032194	02-29-2000	Gai et al.	
	AD	US - 6188694	02-13-2001	Fine et al.	
	···				

EXAMINER'S INITIALS	Cite No.	OTHER DOCUMENTS